



US Army Corps  
of Engineers

Construction Engineering  
Research Laboratory

USACERL Special Report E-90/02

January 1990

Energy Analysis Techniques for Design  
Micro-based Computer Aided Energy Systems Design

## Surveys of Architectural, Electrical, and Mechanical Hardware/Software Use and Needs

by  
Linda K. Lawrie  
William P. Bahnfleth  
Jeffrey D. Morton

DTIC  
ELECTE  
FEB 21 1990  
S D  
co

AD-A218 230

Army designers are continually faced with the need to reduce energy use in new and existing construction while maintaining overall life cycle cost goals. However, designers sometimes experience problems incorporating available energy and economic analysis software into the design process. To help overcome this situation, surveys were administered at Corps-wide Mechanical/Electrical and Architectural conferences to (1) determine designers' software/hardware needs and (2) obtain the designers' opinions of automated products.

The survey results indicate that lack of training and lack of hardware/software are the most serious obstacles to full use of computers by designers. Ease of use and quality of training/support are among the chief criteria for selecting computer tools. Standardization of hardware and software is a high priority and poor interdisciplinary coordination interferes with the effective use of computer-aided design and drafting.

The contents of this report are not to be used for advertising, publication, or promotional purposes. Citation of trade names does not constitute an official indorsement or approval of the use of such commercial products. The findings of this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position, unless so designated by other authorized documents.

*DESTROY THIS REPORT WHEN IT IS NO LONGER NEEDED*

*DO NOT RETURN IT TO THE ORIGINATOR*

## USER EVALUATION OF REPORT

REFERENCE: USACERL Technical Report E-90/02, *"Surveys of Architectural, Electrical, and Mechanical Hardware/Software Use and Needs."*

Please take a few minutes to answer the questions below, tear out this sheet, and return it to USACERL. As user of this report, your customer comments will provide USACERL with information essential for improving future reports.

1. Does this report satisfy a need? (Comment on purpose, related project, or other area of interest for which report will be used.)

---

---

---

2. How, specifically, is the report being used? (Information source, design data or procedure, management procedure, source of ideas, etc.)

---

---

3. Has the information in this report led to any quantitative savings as far as manhours/contract dollars saved, operating costs avoided, efficiencies achieved, etc.? If so, please elaborate.

---

---

4. What is your evaluation of this report in the following areas?

- a. Presentation: \_\_\_\_\_
- b. Completeness: \_\_\_\_\_
- c. Easy to Understand: \_\_\_\_\_
- d. Easy to Implement: \_\_\_\_\_
- e. Adequate Reference Material: \_\_\_\_\_
- f. Relates to Area of Interest: \_\_\_\_\_

g. Did the report meet your expectations? \_\_\_\_\_

h. Does the report raise unanswered questions? \_\_\_\_\_

i. General Comments. (Indicate what you think should be changed to make this report and future reports of this type more responsive to your needs, more usable, improve readability, etc.)

---

---

---

---

---

5. If you would like to be contacted by the personnel who prepared this report to raise specific questions or discuss the topic, please fill in the following information.

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Telephone Number: \_\_\_\_\_

Organization Address: \_\_\_\_\_

---

---

6. Please mail the completed form to:

Department of the Army  
CONSTRUCTION ENGINEERING RESEARCH LABORATORY  
ATTN: CECER-IMT  
P.O. Box 4005  
Champaign, IL 61824-4005

# REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved  
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.

1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)		2. REPORT DATE January 1990	3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED Special Report - January 1990	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Surveys of Architectural, Electrical, and Mechanical Hardware/Software Use and Needs			5. FUNDING NUMBERS 4A162781AT45 Project	
6. AUTHOR(S) Lawrie, Linda K.; Bahnfleth, William P.; and Morton, Jeffrey D.				
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Energy Systems Division (ES) U. S. Army Construction Engineering Research Laboratory (USACERL) P.O. BOX 4005 Champaign, IL 61824-4005			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER USACERL Special Report E-90/02	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Commander Headquarters, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers ATTN: CEMP-EE (Mr. Dwight Beranek) 20 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W. Washington DC 20314-1000			10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER	
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES				
12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.			12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)  Army designers are continually faced with the need to reduce energy use in new and existing construction while maintaining overall life cycle cost goals. However, designers sometimes experience problems incorporating available energy and economic analysis software into the design process. To help overcome this situation, surveys were administered at Corps-wide Mechanical/Electrical and Architectural conferences to (1) determine designers' software/hardware needs and (2) obtain the designers' opinions of automated products.  The survey results indicate that lack of training and lack of hardware/software are the most serious obstacles to full use of computers by designers. Ease of use and quality of training/support are among the chief criteria for selecting computer tools. Standardization of hardware and software is a high priority and poor interdisciplinary coordination interferes with the effective use of computer-aided design and drafting.				
14. SUBJECT TERMS surveys hardware designers software buildings			15. NUMBER OF PAGES 54	
			16. PRICE CODE	
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT UNCLASSIFIED	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE UNCLASSIFIED	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT UNCLASSIFIED	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT UL	

## FOREWORD

This work was performed for the Directorate of Military Programs, Headquarters, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (HQUSACE), under Project 4A162781AT45, "Energy and Energy Conservation"; Technical Area A, "New Construction Energy Design"; Work Units, "Energy Analysis Techniques for Design" and "Micro-based Computer Aided Energy Systems Design." Mr. Dwight Beranek, CEMP-EE, was the HQUSACE Technical Monitor.

This work was performed by the Energy Systems Division (ES), U. S. Army Construction Engineering Research Laboratory (USACERL). Dr. G. R. Williamson is Chief of USACERL-ES.

Appreciation is expressed to Ms. Cynthia Barton for administering and compiling the results of the Architectural survey and to Mr. Bruce Augustine for compiling the results of the Electrical/Mechanical survey. The Technical Editor was Gloria J. Wienke, USACERL Information Management Office.

COL Carl O. Magnell is the Commander and Director of USACERL and Dr. L. R. Shaffer is the Technical Director.

Accession For	
NTIS CRA&I	<input checked="checked" type="checkbox"/>
DTIC TAB	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced	<input type="checkbox"/>
Justification	
By	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or Special
A-1	



## CONTENTS

	Page
SF FORM 298	1
FOREWORD	3
1 INTRODUCTION .....	5
2 MECHANICAL/ELECTRICAL SURVEY SUMMARY .....	6
3 ARCHITECTURAL SURVEY SUMMARY .....	8
4 RECOMMENDATIONS .....	9
APPENDIX A: Mechanical/Electrical Survey	11
APPENDIX B: Mechanical/Electrical Respondent Identification	22
APPENDIX C: Mechanical/Electrical Results Compilation	24
APPENDIX D: Architectural Survey	35
APPENDIX E: Architectural Results Compilation	42
APPENDIX F: Architectural Respondent Identification	52
DISTRIBUTION	

# **SURVEYS OF ARCHITECTURAL, ELECTRICAL, AND MECHANICAL HARDWARE/SOFTWARE USE AND NEEDS**

## **1 INTRODUCTION**

### **Background**

Army designers are continually faced with the need to reduce energy use in new and existing construction while maintaining overall life cycle cost goals. Although energy and economic analysis software is available, designers sometimes have problems incorporating these tools into the design process. Headquarters, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (HQUSACE) tasked the U.S. Army Construction Engineering Research Laboratory (USACERL) to help overcome this situation.

### **Objectives**

The objectives of this research were to (1) determine the designers' software needs and (2) obtain the designers' opinions of automated products as background information for future development of hardware/software.

### **Approach**

In June 1987, the first Corps-wide Mechanical/Electrical Conference for designers was held in Atlanta, GA. Approximately 200 designers from Corps Districts, Divisions, and Laboratories as well as Army and Air Force Headquarters and installations attended. Because of the anticipated responsiveness of this audience, a hardware/software survey (Appendix A) was administered. The surveys were collected and quickly summarized for the attendees (Table 1). An organizational list of the attendees is in Appendix B. The survey was also given at two USACE PROSPECT courses for the "Energy Conservative Design for New Buildings." All surveys were processed at USACERL using a computer program that allowed data entry of the survey responses and compilation of answers. The results are compiled in Appendix C.

In December 1987, the first Corps-wide Architectural Conference for designers was held in Savannah, GA. Approximately 160 designers from Corps Districts, Divisions, and Laboratories as well as Army and Air Force Headquarters and installations attended. Because of the anticipated responsiveness of this audience, a hardware/software survey (Appendix D) was administered. The results are compiled in Appendix E. An organizational list of the attendees is in Appendix F.



## 2 MECHANICAL/ELECTRICAL SURVEY SUMMARY

Nearly all of those surveyed (93 percent) use computers in their work, but few (25 percent) have one on their desks.

A wide variety of mechanical design tools are in use, but the primary applications are for duct sizing, energy analysis, load calculation, and equipment selection. (Note that these are the basic capabilities of the better known commercial packages such as Trane CDS and Carrier E20-II.) Word processing and spreadsheet use were cited as more common than Computer Aided Design and Drafting (CADD) and engineering tool use.

CADD was identified as both a best and a worst application. Word processing, spreadsheet calculations, and life cycle cost analysis applications were given high marks.

Respondents found the Corps software products to be competitive with comparable commercial products. This competitiveness is partly due to the low cost of Corps programs, which were otherwise noted to be cumbersome to use.

The most frequent response concerning tool selection was that it should be easy to use. Support, training, and documentation were also listed as important factors. Cost and standardization of algorithms were considered less significant.

However, in response to a question about criteria for approving the use of a tool, the primary concerns were the available benchmarks, quality documentation, and user experience with the program.

Principal problems preventing full use of computers were lack of training and time to train, lack of hardware and software, "poor support" of computer use, and personnel resistance.

The most important requirements for optimal computer use were standardization and ease of use. Technical capabilities and availability ranked second in importance. Support, training, and cost were also cited as significant factors.

Most respondents prefer on-the-job training, although virtually any type of training from contracted instruction to self-paced work with computer tutorials and manuals is as effective.

Of the approximately 50 percent of survey respondents who answered questions concerning energy analysis tool use, about 30 percent use a computer tool in all analyses. Nearly half use computer tools less than half of the time, however, and some respondents never use them.

There is considerable variety in current energy analysis practice. Hand calculations and simplified computer procedures such as bin method calculations are prevalent. The use of detailed analysis programs such as BLAST (Building Loads Analysis and System Thermodynamics, a USACERL-developed public domain program) is limited among those who use it at all.

The primary application of energy analysis continues to be compliance with budget requirements, although comparison of alternative design performance is done to some extent. Architectural and lighting design on the basis of energy analysis is less common. Respondents estimated that 50 to 60 percent of their analyses are for compliance purposes while 20 to 40 percent are for design purposes.

---

\*These are copyrighted packages of the Trane Company and Carrier Corporation, respectively.

According to those surveyed, mechanical engineers are presently performing the majority of energy analyses (88 percent). However, some think that electrical engineers, architects, and project managers should be doing a greater percentage of the energy analyses.

Respondents indicated that economic analysis is required in roughly 50 percent of both in-house and Architect/Engineer (AE) projects. In both cases, Life Cycle Cost in Design (LCCID) receives substantial use (60 percent in-house and 30 percent by AEs).

The Trane "Government Economics" program (the Trane version of LCCID) is used for about half of the AE life cycle cost analyses. The microcomputer version of LCCID is used more often for in-house analyses (60 percent), although the Trane version is also in wide use. Harris and Control Data Corporation versions are used less frequently. This is indicative of a preference for tools that are immediately available to the user (micro-based). It may also indicate preference for tools that are well-integrated into a larger package of design tools (e.g., Trane CDS).

### 3 ARCHITECTURAL SURVEY SUMMARY

Although a majority (84 percent) of the surveyed conference attendees use computers in their work, few (27 percent) have a dedicated terminal or workstation on their desks. The ratio of users to users with their own computers is lower for the engineers surveyed (0.26) than for the architects (0.32). This is surprising because engineers are traditionally considered more numerically and computer oriented since they perform design calculations more frequently.

The application with the highest priority to this group was drawing. Other computer uses cited as desirable were project management functions such as generation of contract documents, criteria checks, and scheduling.

Good graphic input/output (I/O) rated high among factors influencing the selection of a CADD product. Ease/speed of use and quality of documentation are also very important.

The primary use of computers in the respondents' offices was word processing. Other functions served by computers with some frequency were CADD (drawing) and spreadsheet calculation. Scheduling tools and communications software received more limited use.

Responses to questions asking for least and most successful computer applications indicated repetitive drawing tasks are being accomplished satisfactorily by systems now in the field. On the other hand, drawing applications received negative effectiveness comments when used for unique designs.

Poor support of computer use and personnel resistance were again identified as detractors to full computer use. The primary factors cited as contributors to limited computer use were lack of training, lack of time to train, and lack of hardware and software. The quality of hardware and software was not identified as a major problem.

Conditions required for optimal use of computers in the design process were indicated to be standardization of systems and procedures, improved ease of use, availability of training, and a good ratio of users to computers.

Current needs included improving CADD architectural software and better communication between authors of software and end-users. The three highest priorities, however, were more time allocated for training, more money for needed hardware and software, and better interdisciplinary teamwork.

The group of architects polled currently does not have much involvement with either energy or life cycle cost analysis. They expressed the opinion that detailed energy analyses using complex tools like BLAST should be the duty of mechanical engineers and that tools to be used by architects ought to emphasize speed and simplicity.

Concerns about the capabilities of Corps energy analysis tools included the availability of passive solar analysis, high quality lighting design features, ease of use, and ability to run on a microcomputer.

#### 4 RECOMMENDATIONS

These surveys identified the following opportunities for responses in all management levels and Research and Development (R&D) spheres:

1. Lack of training and lack of hardware/software are the most serious obstacles to full use of computers.

These problems should be addressed by management at all levels (HQUSACE, Field Operating Agencies [FOAs], MACOMs). It would take a great deal of money to provide every engineer and architect in the Corps with a microcomputer or CADD workstation, but it should be a priority to increase the availability of hardware by using any available resources. Likewise, it may not be feasible to provide extensive training to each person involved in CADD, but consideration should be given to authorizing more extensive training for persons selected to be experts in a particular type of analysis. Furthermore, allowing for "discovery" time in the office during which personnel would familiarize themselves with hardware and software tools, would eventually pay dividends in greater acceptance of computerized procedures and increased productivity.

2. Ease of use and quality of training/support are among the chief criteria for selecting computer tools.

Corps R&D should place special emphasis on developing better user interfaces. Whether graphic or text oriented, input processors should be as clear and helpful as current technology allows. Computer tutorials were cited as one of the preferred forms of training. Consideration should be given to developing tutorials for both Corps software and Corps applications of commercial software. Support for Corps software products must be at a level competitive with the popular commercial products. Poorly supported programs tend not to be used, all other factors being equal.

3. Standardization of hardware and software is a high priority.

The Corps-wide CADD purchase is a step in this direction. The Corps should vigorously encourage the development of generic formats in CADD applications and should insist on compatibility of Corps developed programs intended to be used in an interdisciplinary CADD environment.

4. Poor interdisciplinary coordination interferes with effective use of CADD.

Management should investigate ways of modifying the design process to take advantage of the opportunities afforded by CADD. There is also a need to develop and integrate tools into CADD systems to increase interdisciplinary design. Such tools could include knowledge-based systems or simplified analysis tools that could provide rapid, approximate assessment of, for example, the energy impact of architectural design decisions at a point in the design process that would allow the architect to investigate alternative solutions.

The development of public domain software (such as BLAST and LCCID) by or for the Corps should consider several items in the surveys. Ease of use is a very high priority. However, it should also be noted that this survey indicates Corps software scores high in accuracy, though may be cumbersome. If this software could be made easy to use, the users would readily accept it.

The BLAST development team should develop more HVAC system and equipment models, an interface with CADD systems, an interface with graphic reporting systems, better documentation, and execution on 32 bit workstations or microcomputers. Among the 26 BLAST users who answered the questionnaire, the majority (18) are using a preprocessor (such as BTEXT) as the normal method of inputting building models. This action indicates a change in BLAST input file developments. Note

that the surveys preceded the announcement of PC-BTEXT that will further help input file development.

LCCID or one of its commercial clones has been readily accepted in the economic analysis studies required during design. No immediate enhancements to the LCCID software are indicated by this survey.

## APPENDIX A:

### ELECTRICAL/MECHANICAL ENGINEERING CONFERENCE HARDWARE/SOFTWARE SURVEY

Please take a few minutes to complete the following survey regarding your present and anticipated use of computer hardware and software. The survey is organized into several sections with general and specialized applications questions. Please complete all the sections that apply to you. Most questions will ask you to respond by placing an X on the lines provided. Where these boxes are followed by \_\_\_\_\_ blank lines, please also fill in additional information on the response.

The Corps lacks good information on computer usage. We would like as close to a 100% response on the survey as possible. **THIS INFORMATION IS IMPORTANT FOR THE CORPS** (and will only be used by the Corps). Your answers will help guide USACE policy on hardware and software for our disciplines. Preliminary results of the survey will be given in the M/E Software presentation on Thursday. A handout will also be prepared with some results.

Please hand in the completed survey first thing Wednesday morning to your session chairman.

#### SECTION A. IDENTIFICATION

1. NAME (optional) \_\_\_\_\_
2. OFFICE SYMBOL \_\_\_\_\_
3. TEL. NO. (optional) FTS \_\_\_\_\_  
Comm ( ) \_\_\_\_\_
4. POSITION TITLE \_\_\_\_\_
5. ENG. DISCIPLINE (i.e. EE, ME, CET) \_\_\_\_\_

#### SECTION B. GENERAL (ALL COMPLETE)

6. Place an X in the spaces for all computer types for which you HAVE ACCESS in your office:

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> CDC Cybernet                 | <input type="checkbox"/> IBM PC or Compatible |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other Mainframe _____        | <input type="checkbox"/> PC/XT                |
| <input type="checkbox"/> District Harris              | <input type="checkbox"/> PC/AT                |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other Minicomputer _____     | <input type="checkbox"/> 32 bit Workstation   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Division Honeywell           | (Mfr) _____                                   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> CADD Workstation (Mfr) _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> APPLE (Model) _____  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other(s) _____               | <input type="checkbox"/> NONE                 |
| _____   |   |

7. Place an X in the spaces of all computers you USE in the office at least occasionally:

<input type="checkbox"/> CDC Cybernet	<input type="checkbox"/> IBM PC or Compatible
<input type="checkbox"/> Mainframe_____	<input type="checkbox"/> PC/XT
<input type="checkbox"/> District Harris	<input type="checkbox"/> PC/AT
<input type="checkbox"/> Other Minicomputer_____	<input type="checkbox"/> 32 bit Workstation
<input type="checkbox"/> Division Honeywell	<input type="checkbox"/> (Mfr)_____
<input type="checkbox"/> CADD Workstation (Mfr)_____	<input type="checkbox"/> APPLE (Model)_____
<input type="checkbox"/> Other(s)_____	<input type="checkbox"/> NONE
_____	

8. Place an X in the spaces of all computers you WILL HAVE ACCESS TO by the END OF FY88:

<input type="checkbox"/> CDC Cybernet	<input type="checkbox"/> IBM PC or Compatible
<input type="checkbox"/> Other Mainframe_____	<input type="checkbox"/> PC/XT
<input type="checkbox"/> District Harris	<input type="checkbox"/> PC/AT
<input type="checkbox"/> Other Minicomputer_____	<input type="checkbox"/> 32 bit Workstation
<input type="checkbox"/> Division Honeywell	<input type="checkbox"/> (Mfr)_____
<input type="checkbox"/> CADD Workstation (Mfr)_____	<input type="checkbox"/> APPLE (Model)_____
<input type="checkbox"/> Other(s)_____	<input type="checkbox"/> NONE
_____	

9. Do you have a computer or terminal on your desk?

YES                  NO

10. If you answered yes to Question #9 place an "X" in the spaces applicable for the computer or terminal on your desk:

Terminal (Mfr)\_\_\_\_\_

Computer Terminal is Networked to if any \_\_\_\_\_

Computer (Name: PC, PC/XT, Apple IIE, etc.)\_\_\_\_\_

Hard Disk    10MB    20MB    40MB    \_\_\_\_\_ MB

Modem \_\_\_\_\_ Baud

Printer        On Desk        Remote

☐ Daisywheel

☐ Dot Matrix

☐ Laserprinter

11. Place an X next to the four most important problems IN YOUR OFFICE regarding the use of computer technology:

☐ Lack of hardware

☐ Lack of software

☐ Lack of training

☐ No time to learn

☐ No good applications for computers

- ☐ Personnel resistance to computers
- ☐ Poor support for computers in office
- ☐ Noise level of computers and printers
- ☐ Expense of computers and software
- ☐ Management does not support computer use
- ☐ Lack of phone lines for modems
- ☐ Present hardware inadequate
- ☐ Engineers not given ready access to hardware needed
- ☐ Other(s) List \_\_\_\_\_
- \_\_\_\_\_
- \_\_\_\_\_

12. Name the function(s) in your office you would most like to automate:

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

13. Do you own a computer for home use?

NO            YES (Type) \_\_\_\_\_

SECTION C. (TO BE COMPLETED BY ALL COMPUTER OR TERMINAL USERS)

14. State the types of training you consider most effective FOR YOU on computer hardware or software by numbering your preferences in the spaces below (#1 being your top preference):

- ☐ Contracted training
- ☐ Formal training by office staff
- ☐ Computer tutorials
- ☐ Manuals
- ☐ Telephone support from mfr.
- ☐ On the job training
- ☐ Co-workers
- ☐ Other \_\_\_\_\_
- \_\_\_\_\_

15. Place an X in the spaces for YOUR use(s) of computer hardware and software in your office:

- ☐ Wordprocessing (Name of program(s)) \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ Spreadsheets (Program(s)) \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ Data base managers (Program(s)) \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ Communication (Program(s)) \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ Energy analysis (See separate section also)
- ☐ Other engineering computations (See separate section also)
- ☐ CADD for drawing
- ☐ CADD for engineering calculations
- ☐ Scheduling and time management
- ☐ Management Information Systems (required by office)



Other(s) \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

SECTION D. M&E SOFTWARE (TO BE COMPLETED BY ALL COMPUTER USERS)

16. Place an X in the spaces next to the M&E applications for which YOU have used software in at least a portion of the task (also state the program name when asked):

Electrical Distribution Design (Program(s)) \_\_\_\_\_  
Lighting Design and Analysis (Program(s)) \_\_\_\_\_  
Overcurrent Protection Coordination (Program(s)) \_\_\_\_\_  
Other Electrical Design (Program(s)) \_\_\_\_\_  
Piping Design (Program(s)) \_\_\_\_\_  
Duct Sizing (Program(s)) \_\_\_\_\_  
Energy Analysis (See separate section also) \_\_\_\_\_  
Interference Checking (Program(s)) \_\_\_\_\_  
Cooling and Heating Coil Selection (Program(s)) \_\_\_\_\_  
HVAC System and Equipment Selection (Program(s)) \_\_\_\_\_  
Heating and Cooling Load Calculation (Program(s)) \_\_\_\_\_  
ASHRAE 90 Compliance (Program(s)) \_\_\_\_\_  
Solids Modeling (Program(s)) \_\_\_\_\_  
Strength of Materials (Program(s)) \_\_\_\_\_  
Other Mechanical Applications \_\_\_\_\_  
Program(s) \_\_\_\_\_

17. What task or function do you feel has been most successful on a computer? \_\_\_\_\_

Why? \_\_\_\_\_

18. What task or function do you feel has been the least successful on a computer? \_\_\_\_\_

Why? \_\_\_\_\_

19. State your overall (ease of use, support, accuracy, price) preferences for engineering software developers for programs YOU HAVE USED by placing a number (#1 being highest rated) in the spaces of the developers below. State the major strength and weakness of each used.

RANK/DEVELOPER	STRENGTH	WEAKNESS
APEC	_____	_____
CARRIER	_____	_____
TRANE	_____	_____
ELITE	_____	_____
INTERGRAPH	_____	_____
OTHER CADD (_____)	_____	_____
HEC	_____	_____
CERL	_____	_____
WES	_____	_____



24. Place an X in the spaces next to the five most pressing problems you feel the Corps faces in computer use?

- ☐ Users are not consulted before software is developed
- ☐ Too much oversight on software by HQUSACE
- ☐ Not enough oversight on software by HQUSACE
- ☐ Too many programs to choose from
- ☐ Little ability to force AEs to use certain programs
- ☐ Corps labs and Huntsville developing too much useless software
- ☐ CADD systems need improvement in engineering analysis applications
- ☐ Poor interdisciplinary design teamwork
- ☐ Design schedules are too tight to permit learning and using programs
- ☐ Need more money for hardware and software
- ☐ Other \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ Other \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ Other \_\_\_\_\_

25. If it were up to you what would the essential ingredients of the Corps hardware and software usage be?

- A. \_\_\_\_\_
- B. \_\_\_\_\_
- C. \_\_\_\_\_
- D. \_\_\_\_\_

**SECTION E. ENERGY ANALYSIS (TO BE COMPLETED BY ALL USERS  
AND REVIEWERS OF ENERGY ANALYSIS PROGRAMS)**

26. What are your principal duties regarding energy analysis?

Perform analyses

Review Analyses

Perform and Review

27. How often do you personally perform energy analysis calculations?

Less than once a quarter

Once a quarter

Once a month

Once a week

Other \_\_\_\_\_

28. Of the energy analyses you perform what percentage are conducted using a computer program?

100%

90%

75%

50%

Less than 50%  
Other \_\_\_\_\_

29. Place an X in the spaces next to all purposes for which you perform a computer or hand calculation energy analysis. Place a number next to all selections indicating the percentage of the total energy analyses for which you perform that function.

\_\_\_ To comply with Energy Budget requirements \_\_\_\_\_ %  
\_\_\_ To compare HVAC alternatives' energy consumption and life cycle costs \_\_\_\_\_ %  
\_\_\_ To compare alternate architectural features such as windows and insulation \_\_\_\_\_ %  
\_\_\_ To compare alternate lighting and/or electrical power systems \_\_\_\_\_ %  
\_\_\_ To size HVAC systems and equipment \_\_\_\_\_ %  
\_\_\_ To select orientation and other siting considerations \_\_\_\_\_ %  
\_\_\_ Other \_\_\_\_\_ %  
\_\_\_ Other \_\_\_\_\_ %

30. Place an X in the spaces for purposes AEs use energy analysis on your office's project. Place an approximate percentage of the time they perform these functions:

\_\_\_ To comply with Energy Budget requirements \_\_\_\_\_ %  
\_\_\_ To compare HVAC alternatives' energy consumption and life cycle costs \_\_\_\_\_ %  
\_\_\_ To compare alternate architectural features such as windows and insulation \_\_\_\_\_ %  
\_\_\_ To compare alternate lighting and/or electrical power systems \_\_\_\_\_ %  
\_\_\_ To size HVAC systems and equipment \_\_\_\_\_ %  
\_\_\_ To select orientation and other siting considerations \_\_\_\_\_ %  
\_\_\_ Other \_\_\_\_\_ %  
\_\_\_ Other \_\_\_\_\_ %

31. Place an X in the spaces next to the energy analysis tools YOU use in your office. Place the percentage of the analyses performed with these tools in the space next to each of your selections:

\_\_\_ Hand calculations \_\_\_\_\_ %  
\_\_\_ Carrier OPCOST program \_\_\_\_\_ %  
\_\_\_ ASEAMII program \_\_\_\_\_ %  
\_\_\_ Other BIN programs \_\_\_\_\_ %  
\_\_\_ DOE 2.1 (Mainframe version) \_\_\_\_\_ %  
\_\_\_ DOE 2.1 (PC version) \_\_\_\_\_ %  
\_\_\_ BLAST \_\_\_\_\_ %  
\_\_\_ Trane TRACE \_\_\_\_\_ %  
\_\_\_ APEC ESPII \_\_\_\_\_ %  
\_\_\_ ELITE \_\_\_\_\_ %  
\_\_\_ BRUT \_\_\_\_\_ %  
\_\_\_ Other \_\_\_\_\_ %  
\_\_\_ Other \_\_\_\_\_ %

32. Place an X next to the energy analysis tools used by AEs on your projects. State the approximate percentage of the time AEs use these tools:

☐ Hand calculations \_\_\_\_\_ %  
☐ Carrier OPCOST program \_\_\_\_\_ %  
☐ ASEAMII program \_\_\_\_\_ %  
☐ Other BIN programs \_\_\_\_\_ %  
☐ DOE 2.1 (Mainframe version) \_\_\_\_\_ %  
☐ DOE 2.1 (PC version) \_\_\_\_\_ %  
☐ BLAST \_\_\_\_\_ %  
☐ Trane TRACE \_\_\_\_\_ %  
☐ APEC ESPII \_\_\_\_\_ %  
☐ ELITE \_\_\_\_\_ %  
☐ BRUT \_\_\_\_\_ %  
☐ Other \_\_\_\_\_ %  
☐ Other \_\_\_\_\_ %

33. Place an X in the spaces of the five most important features an energy analysis tool should have for you to use it or permit AEs to use it (assume the application is a new air conditioned building of more than 100,000 sq ft floor area):

☐ Calculations are accurate compared to some benchmark  
☐ Input required is limited  
☐ Building model can be very detailed  
☐ HVAC systems and equipment models are extensive  
☐ Lighting systems models are extensive  
☐ There is good capability to perform daylighting calculations  
☐ Good capability for passive solar  
☐ Good capability for active solar  
☐ Program is easy to learn  
☐ Program runs on a microcomputer  
☐ Computation time for an annual run is relatively fast  
☐ I have or AE has used it before  
☐ Training for the program is good  
☐ Support for the program is good  
☐ Program is public domain  
☐ Program documentation is good  
☐ Program uses "screens" for input  
☐ Program uses menus for input  
☐ Text editor is easy to use  
☐ Other \_\_\_\_\_  
☐ Other \_\_\_\_\_

34. State the percentage of energy analyses conducted by the following disciplines in your office:

Mechanical engineers \_\_\_\_\_ %  
 Electrical engineers \_\_\_\_\_ %  
 Architects \_\_\_\_\_ %  
 Project managers \_\_\_\_\_ %  
 Engineering technicians \_\_\_\_\_ %  
 Other \_\_\_\_\_ %

35. In your opinion what percentage of energy analyses SHOULD BE performed by the following disciplines in your office?

Mechanical engineers \_\_\_\_\_ %  
Electrical engineers \_\_\_\_\_ %  
Architects \_\_\_\_\_ %  
Project managers \_\_\_\_\_ %  
Engineering technicians \_\_\_\_\_ %  
Other \_\_\_\_\_ %

36. Please list any suggestions you have for improving energy analysis tools and/or criteria:

---

---

---

37. Have you disapproved the proposed use of any energy analysis program by an AE?

NO YES

Why? \_\_\_\_\_

SECTION F. (TO BE COMPLETED BY ALL USERS AND REVIEWERS OF  
THE BLAST COMPUTER PROGRAM)

38. How often do you use or review BLAST?

\_\_ Less than once a quarter  
\_\_ Once a quarter  
\_\_ Once a month  
\_\_ Once a week  
\_\_ More than once a week  
\_\_ Other \_\_\_\_\_

39. Place an X in the spaces next to the computer(s) you run BLAST on. State the percentage of runs on that computer for each selection:

\_\_ CDC Cybernet \_\_\_\_\_ %  
\_\_ District Harris \_\_\_\_\_ %  
\_\_ DEC VAX \_\_\_\_\_ %  
\_\_ Other \_\_\_\_\_ %

40. Place a number in the spaces of the five highest priorities of new features you would like to see in BLAST:

\_\_ More HVAC systems and plants models  
\_\_ More lighting models  
\_\_ Integrated loads, systems and plants modules

- ☐ Easy interface with graphics programs for reports
- ☐ Interface with CADD systems
- ☐ Run on a 32 bit engineering workstation
- ☐ Run on a 32 bit microcomputer
- ☐ Provide better documentation
- ☐ Microcomputer preprocessor
- ☐ Microcomputer postprocessor
- ☐ Other \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ Other \_\_\_\_\_

41. Would you be interested in helping to demonstrate completed enhancements to BLAST if funds were provided for the demonstration?

NO YES

42. What are your two biggest concerns about using BLAST?

a. \_\_\_\_\_

b. \_\_\_\_\_

43. Place an X in the spaces next to the means you use to input BLAST. Place a percentage of total runs of each input means in the space provided.

- ☐ Regular BLAST input scheme \_\_\_\_\_ %
- ☐ BTEXT text preprocessor \_\_\_\_\_ %
- ☐ SKETCH/BIP preprocessor \_\_\_\_\_ %
- ☐ ARCH/ENERGY preprocessor \_\_\_\_\_ %

#### SECTION G. (TO BE ANSWERED BY THOSE PERFORMING OR REVIEWING ECONOMIC ANALYSES)

44. What percentage of in-house projects involve economic analyses (i.e. life cycle cost studies) to help make design selections?

\_\_\_\_\_ %

45. What percentage of AE projects require similar economic studies?

\_\_\_\_\_ %

46. Have you used the Corps Life Cycle Cost In Design (LCCID) economic analysis computer program?

NO YES

47. What percentage of in-house economic analyses are performed using LCCID?

\_\_\_\_\_ %

48. What percentage of AE performed economic analyses are conducted using LCCID?

\_\_\_\_\_ %

49. Place an X in the spaces for the version of LCCID used by you. State the percentage of that use in the space provided:

\_\_CDC Cybernet \_\_\_\_\_ %

\_\_Harris \_\_\_\_\_ %

\_\_Micro \_\_\_\_\_ %

\_\_Trane's "Government Economics" \_\_\_\_\_ %

50. Place an X in the spaces for the version of LCCID used by AEs. State the percentage of that use in the space provided:

\_\_CDC Cybernet \_\_\_\_\_ %

\_\_Harris \_\_\_\_\_ %

\_\_Micro \_\_\_\_\_ %

\_\_Trane's "Government Economics" \_\_\_\_\_ %

THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR COMPLETING THIS SURVEY - PLEASE HAND IT IN  
WEDNESDAY TO YOUR SESSION CHAIRMAN



## APPENDIX B:

### MECHANICAL/ELECTRICAL RESPONDENT IDENTIFICATION

Mechanical/Electrical Survey Respondents  
 June 12-14, 1987; Atlanta, Georgia  
 August 1-5, 1987; Kansas City, Missouri  
 September 14-18, 1987; Huntsville, Alabama

Office Symbol		Surveys Returned
MACOMs/DEHs	HQ. TRADOC	18
Others (EACA/FESA)		2
HQDA		
CEEC	HQUSACE	2
CEEC-OVEST	HQUSACE-OVEST	
CECER	Const. Eng. Res. Lab.	2

Office Symbol		Division/ District	Surveys Returned
CEEUD	Europe Division	EUD	1
CEHND	Huntsville Division	HND	3
CELMD	Lower Miss. Valley Div.	LMV	1
		LMK	6
		LMN	1
		LMM	3
		LMS	3
CEMRD	Missouri River Division	MRD	2
		MRK	2
		MRO	4
CENED	New England Division	NED	2
CENAD	North Atlantic Division	NAD	2
		NAB	2
		NAN	2
		NAO	1
CENCD	North Central Division	NCD	1
		NCR	2
		NCS	1
		NCB	2

Office Symbol		Division/ District	Surveys Returned
CENPD	North Pacific Division	NPD	8
		NPP	5
		NPS	4
		NPW	2
CEORD	Ohio River Division	ORD	1
		ORL	2
		ORH	1
		ORN	6
		ORP	1
CEPOD	Pacific Ocean Division	POD	3
		POF	2
		POJ	1
CESAD	South Atlantic Division	SAD	1
		SAJ	2
		SAM	12
		SAI	2
		SAS	12
		SAW	2
CESPD	South Pacific Division	SPD	1
		SPL	2
		SPK	8
CESWD	Southwestern Division	SWD	4
		SWA	2
		SWF	5
		SWL	2
		SWT	2
		SWG	2
Other		18	
		<u>TOTAL:</u>	176

NOTE: 18 attendees did not give their name or office symbol on the completed survey.

## APPENDIX C:

### MECHANICAL/ELECTRICAL RESULTS COMPILATION

Mechanical/Electrical Survey  
June 12-14, 1987; Atlanta, Georgia  
August 1-5, 1987; Kansas City, Missouri  
September 14-18, 1987; Huntsville, Alabama

#### SURVEY ANALYSIS

##### Section A. Identification

Total # of responses: 176  
# of supervisory positions: 42  
# of ME responses: 95  
# of EE responses: 58  
Other disciplines that responded:  
- BSEE/MSEA  
- ME/EE  
- EET  
- IE  
- CE  
- ARCHITECT

##### SECTION B. GENERAL

6. Place an X in the spaces for all computer types for which you HAVE ACCESS in your office:

37 CDC Cybernet  
13 Other Mainframe: PRIME, AMDAHL, DPS@WES, TRANE, IBM  
07 District Harris  
24 Other Minicomputer: MACINTOSH, VAX  
32 Division Honeywell  
71 CADD Workstation (Mfr): AUTODESK, AUTOCAD, INTERGRAPH,  
AUTOTROL, CALMA, TECHTRONIX, IBM  
113 IBM PC or Compatible  
59 PC/XT  
67 PC/AT  
7 32 bit Workstation (Mfr): INTERGRAPH, TECHTRONIX, HP  
5 APPLE  
10 Other  
10 NONE

7. Place an X in the spaces of all computers you USE in the office at least occasionally:

16 CDC Cybernet  
4 Other Mainframe: PRIME, TRANE, WES  
61 District Harris

- 12 Other Minicomputer
- 5 Division Honeywell
- 40 CADD Workstation (mfr): AUTODESK, AUTOCAD, INTERGRAPH, IBM, CALMA
- 95 IBM PC or Compatible
- 46 PC/XT
- 63 PC/AT
- 3 32 bit Workstation (Mfr)
- 5 APPLE (Model)
- 12 Other
- 13 NONE

8. Place an X in the spaces of all computers you WILL HAVE ACCESS TO by the END OF FY88:

- 28 CDC Cybernet
- 13 Other Mainframe: PRIME, AMDAHL, DPS@WES, TRANE, IBM
- 88 District Harris
- 16 Other Minicomputer: MACINTOSH, VAX
- 25 Division Honeywell
- 70 CADD Workstation (Mfr): AUTODESK, AUTOCAD, INTERGRAPH, CALMA
- 98 IBM PC or Compatible
- 52 PC/XT
- 76 PC/AT
- 12 32 bit Workstation (Mfr): INTERGRAPH, APOLLO
- 6 APPLE (Model)
- 9 Other
- 4 NONE

9. Do you have a computer or terminal on your desk?

57 Yes

10. If you answered yes to Question #9 place an X in the spaces applicable for the computer or terminal on your desk:

- 19 Terminal (Mfr): ZENITH, PRIME, WYSE, HDS
- 53 Computer (Name: PC, PC/XT, Apple IIE, etc.): ZENITH, IBM/PC, PCXT, PC/AT, DATAKIT, CZPRO
- 32 Hard Disk
- 10 10MB, 26 20MB, 4 40MB
- 33 Modem
- From 300 to 9600 BAUD Capabilities
- 51 Printer
- 39 On Desk, 12 Remote
- 7 Daisywheel, 47 Dot Matrix, 7 Laserprinter

11. Place an X next to the four most important problems IN YOUR OFFICE regarding the use of computer technology:

- 106 No time to learn
- 91 Lack of training
- 62 Lack of hardware
- 57 Lack of software

- 28 Lack of phone lines for modems
- 27 Poor support for computers in office
- 26 Personnel resistance to computers
- 26 Other 1
- 23 Engineers not given ready access to hardware needed
- 22 Expense of computers and software
- 21 Present hardware inadequate
- 16 Noise level of computers and printers
- 13 Other 2
- 13 No good applications for computers
- 10 Management does not support computer use
- 3 Other 3

12. Name the function(s) in your office you would most like to automate:

- GEMENT, REPORTS, DRAFTING, BUDGET
- NONE

13. Do you own a computer for home use?

- 56 Yes responses
- COMMODEORE
- TI
- CORDATA
- ATARI
- ZENITH
- APPLE
- PC/XT

### SECTION C. COMPUTER USAGE

14. State the types of training you consider most effective FOR YOU on computer hardware or software by numbering your preferences in the boxes below (#1 being your top preference):

No. Response	Category	Overall Rating
125	On the job training	2.19
110	Contracted training	2.30
95	Formal training by office staff	2.86
96	Co-workers	3.16
95	Computer tutorials	3.21
96	Manuals	3.72
72	Telephone support from Mfr.	5.58
8	Other	1.13

15. Place an X in the spaces for YOUR use(s) of computer hardware and software in your office:

- 118 Wordprocessing: WORDSTAR, INFO, MULTIMATE, WORDPERFECT, ENABLE, WORDMARC, SMART, FRAMEWORK, PFS, UNKNOWN
- 91 Spreadsheets: SUPERCALC, LOTUS, MULTIPLAN, ENABLE, SYMPHONY, V-CALC
- 57 Data base managers: DBASE, ENABLE, INFORMIX
- 51 Communication: PROCOMM, CROSSTALK, ON-TYME, ENABLE, SMARTCOM

- 44 Energy analysis
- 41 Other engineering computations
- 53 CADD for drawing
- 15 CADD for engineering calculations
- 26 Scheduling and time management
- 16 Management information systems
- 29 Other: FORMTOOL, SIDEKICK, MATHCAD, AUTOCAD, LCCID, SIGN MASTER, DIAGRAPH

#### SECTION D. M&E SOFTWARE

16. Place an X in the spaces next to the M&E applications for which YOU have used software in at least a portion of the task (also state the program name when asked):

- 18 Electrical Distribution design: DAPPER-CAPTOR, CADD, ELITE
- 21 Lighting design and analysis: ELITE, OWN
- 12 Overcurrent protection coordination: CAPTOR, BUSS, ELITE
- 19 Other electrical design
- 24 Piping design: CARRIER, INHOUSE, HP2M, TRANE, PIPENET
- 36 Duct sizing: CARRIER, TRACE, VARITRANE, DUCTMATE, ELITE
- 36 Energy analysis
- 2 Interference checking
- 29 Cooling and heating coil selection: CARRIER, TRANE
- 26 HVAC system and equipment selection: CARRIER, TRANE
- 34 Heating and cooling load calculation: CARRIER, ELITE, TRANE
- 5 ASHRAE 90 compliance
- 1 Solids modeling
- 2 Strength of materials
- 16 Other Mechanical applications: LCCID, Mec

17. What task or function do you feel has been most successful on a computer?

- WORDPROCESSING, CALCULATIONS, REPORTS, WORDSTAR, LCCID, SPREADSHEETS, CADD

Why?

- MANUFACTURERS, SPEED, SIMPLICITY

18. What task or function do you feel has been the least successful on a computer?

- NONE, SCHEDULING, CADD, BLAST, DESIGN-DRAWINGS, INFO (A Harris Database Language), AUTOCADD

19. State your overall (ease of use, support, accuracy, price) preferences for engineering software developers for programs YOU HAVE USED by placing a number (#1 being highest rated) in the spaces of the developers below. State the major strength and weakness of each used.

- 3 APEC; No Comments
- 19 CARRIER; Weakness: SUPPORT
- 25 TRANE; Strength: SUPPORT; Weakness: MANUALS, COMPLICATED
- 20 ELITE; No Comments
- 13 INTERGRAPH; Strength: GREAT; Weakness: GS
- 15 OTHER CADD; Strength: Auto; Weakness: DESIGN
- 2 HEC; No Comments

- 25 CERL; Strength: VARIETY, THOROUGH, COST, BLAST; Weakness:  
CUMBERSOME
- 2 WES; No Comments
- 2 HUNTSVILLE DIV; No Comments
- 23 OTHER

20. Place an X in the spaces next to the five attributes of engineering software that carry the most weight regarding your selection of programs:

- 103 Ease of use
- 76 Quality of manuals and other documentation
- 61 Software support from developer
- 61 Speed of use
- 55 Conformance of calculations to results of hand calcs
- 44 Price
- 28 Previous experience with the program
- 21 Reputation of developer
- 14 Graphics output
- 11 Advertised features and compliancies
- 7 Access to computer code for examination
- 7 Graphics input
- 7 Other
- 3 Other

21. Place an X in the spaces next to the MAJOR considerations you have regarding the use of software by AEs?

- 72 Your ability to check the results against a benchmark program  
or hand calculations
- 53 Documentation provided by the AE about the program
- 51 Your personal familiarity with using the program
- 32 Reputation of the program
- 27 Reputation of the AE
- 2 Other
- 3 Other

22. Have you disapproved a program that an AE wanted to use?

22 Yes responses

23. What recommendations do you have for others regarding the use of software by AEs?

- NONE

24. Place an X in the spaces next to the five most pressing problems you feel the Corps faces in computer use?

- 72 Design schedules are too tight to permit learning and using programs
- 54 Users are not consulted before software is developed
- 44 Poor interdisciplinary design teamwork
- 39 Other (BLAST, TRAINING)
- 33 Need more money for hardware and software
- 28 Too many programs to choose from

- 26 Corps labs and Huntsville developing too much useless software
- 24 CADD systems need improvement in engineering analysis applications
- 13 Not enough oversight on software by HQUSACE
- 7 Too much oversight on software by HQUSACE

25. If it were up to you what would the essential ingredients of the Corps hardware and software usage be?

- Compatibility
- Speed
- Uniformity
- Simple
- Availability
- Versatility
- Accuracy
- Documentation
- Cost
- Support
- Fast
- Training

#### SECTION E. ENERGY ANALYSIS USAGE and REVIEW

26. What are your principal duties regarding energy analysis?

- 33 Review analyses
- 26 Perform and review
- 16 Perform analyses

27. How often do you personally perform energy analysis calculations?

- 30 Less than once a quarter
- 17 Other (NONE, SELDOM)
- 14 Once a quarter
- 6 Once a month
- 5 Once a week

28. Of the energy analyses you perform what percentage are conducted using a computer program?

- 19 100%
- 9 90%
- 5 75%
- 10 50%
- 22 Less than 50%
- 10 Other (NONE, SELDOM)

29. Place an X in the spaces next to all purposes for which you perform a computer or hand calculation energy analysis. Place a number next to all selections indicating the percentage of the total energy analyses for which you perform that function.



No. Response	Category	Overall Rating
34	To comply with energy budget requirements	61.26
39	To compare HVAC alternatives energy consumption and life cycle costs	38.28
33	To size HVAC systems and equipment	33.58
8	Other	43.75
12	To compare alternate architectural features such as windows and insulation	32.50
9	To compare alternate lighting and/or electrical power systems	22.78
7	To select Orientation and other siting considerations	46.43

30. Place an X in the spaces for purposes AEs use energy analysis on your office's project. Place an approximate percentage of the time they perform these functions:

No. Response	Category	Overall Rating
34	To comply with energy budget requirements	47.20
38	To compare HVAC alternatives, energy consumption and life cycle costs	40.26
11	To compare alternate architectural features such as windows and insulation	31.82
9	To compare alternate lighting and/or electrical power systems	27.78
30	To size HVAC systems and equipment	36.34
6	To select orientation and other siting considerations	19.17
1	Other	100.00

31. Place an X in the spaces next to the energy analysis tools YOU use in your office. Place the percentage of the analyses performed with these tools in the space next to each of your selections:

No. Response	Category	Overall Rating
49	Hand calculations	46.45
3	Carrier OPCOST program	75.00
0	ASEAMII program	
1	Other BIN programs	80.00
0	DOE 2.1 (mainframe version)	
3	DOE 2.1 (PC version)	13.21
25	BLAST	29.08
17	Trane TRACE	45.88
0	APEC ESPII	
7	ELITE	30.00
0	BRUT	
8	Other	21.25

32. Place an X next to the energy analysis tools used by AEs on your projects. State the approximate percentage of the time AEs use these tools:

No. Response	Category	Overall Rating
29	Hand calculations	20.07
9	Carrier OPCOST program	23.89
0	ASEAMII program	
4	Other BIN programs	30.75
4	DOE 2.1 (mainframe version)	10.30
5	DOE 2.1 (PC version)	9.00
18	BLAST	11.50
35	Trane TRACE	45.60
5	APEC ESPII	16.00
10	ELITE	8.70
0	BRUT	
3	Other	10.00

33. Place an X in the spaces of the five most important features an energy analysis tool should have for you to use it or permit AEs to use it (assume the application is a new air conditioned building of more than 100,000 sq ft floor area):

- 51 Calculations are accurate compared to some benchmark
- 44 Program is easy to learn
- 37 Program runs on a microcomputer
- 32 HVAC systems and equipment models are extensive
- 28 Computation time for an annual run is relatively fast
- 23 Input required is limited
- 19 Building model can be very detailed
- 13 Support for the program is good
- 13 Program documentation is good
- 12 Training for the program is good
- 12 Good capability for passive solar
- 10 Program uses menus for input
- 7 Program is public domain
- 6 I have or AE has used it before
- 5 There is good capability to perform daylighting calculations
- 5 Text editor is easy to use
- 5 Lighting systems models are extensive
- 4 Program uses "screens" for input
- 2 Other
- 2 Good capability for active solar
- 1 Other

34. State the percentage of energy analyses conducted by the following disciplines in your office:

No. Responses	Discipline	Percent
55	Mechanical engineers	87.54
14	Electrical engineers	26.07
1	Architects	

No. Responses	Discipline	Percent
3	Project managers	11.67
3	Engineering technicians	3.33
1	Other	100.00

35. In your opinion what percentage of energy analyses SHOULD BE performed by the following disciplines in your office?

No. Responses	Discipline	Percent
57	Mechanical engineers	65.28
38	Electrical engineers	33.98
27	Architects	30.08
7	Project managers	22.86
10	Engineering technicians	39.50
2	Other	85.00

36. Please list any suggestions you have for improving energy analysis tools and/or criteria:

37. Have you disapproved the proposed use of any energy analysis program by an AE?

14 Yes responses

#### SECTION F. BLAST COMPUTER PROGRAM USAGE and REVIEW

38. How often do you use or review BLAST?

23	Less than once a quarter
5	Once a quarter
5	Once a month
1	Once a week
1	More than once a week
14	Other
-	NEVER

39. Place an X in the spaces next to the computer(s) you run BLAST on. State the percentage of runs on that computer for each selection:

No. Responses	Hardware	Percent
8	CDC Cybernet	40.63
20	District Harris	49.25
0	DEC VAX	

40. Place a number in the spaces of the five highest priorities of new features you would like to see in BLAST:

22	More HVAC systems and plants models
16	Integrated loads, systems and plants modules
14	Easy interface with graphics programs for reports
11	Provide better documentation

- 11 Other
- 11 Interface with CADD systems
- 9 Run on a 32 bit microcomputer
- 7 Microcomputer preprocessor
- 6 More lighting models
- 4 Run on a 32 bit engineering workstation
- 2 Microcomputer postprocessor

41. Would you be interested in helping to demonstrate completed enhancements to BLAST if funds were provided for the demonstration?

15 Yes responses

42. What are your two biggest concerns about using BLAST?

- COMPLEXITY
- TIME

43. Place an X in the spaces next to the means you use to input BLAST. Place a percentage of total runs of each input means in the space provided.

No. Responses	Input Scheme	Percent
8	Regular BLAST input scheme	48.75
13	BTEXT text preprocessor	68.85
2	SKETCH/BIP preprocessor	10.00
3	ARCH/ENERGY preprocessor	65.00

#### SECTION G. ECONOMIC ANALYSIS STUDIES and REVIEW

44. What percentage of in-house projects involve economic analyses (i.e., life cycle cost studies) to help make design selections?

48 Yes responses 49.02%

45. What percentage of AE projects require similar economic studies?

45 Yes responses 53.2%

46. Have you used the Corps Life Cycle Cost In Design (LCCID) economic analysis computer program?

21 Yes responses

47. What percentage of in-house economic analyses are performed using LCCID?

29 responses 60.21%

48. What percentage of AE performed economic analyses are conducted using LCCID?

11 responses 30.41%

49. Place an X in the spaces for the version of LCCID used by you. State the percentage of that use in the space provided:

No. Responses	Implementation	Percent
1	CDC Cybernet	0.00
6	Harris	30.00
12	Micro	60.59
9	Trane's "Government Economics"	47.27

50. Place an X in the spaces for the version of LCCID used by AEs. State the percentage of that use in the space provided:

No. Responses	Implementation	Percent
2	CDC Cybernet	15.00
0	Harris	
6	Micro	24.17
14	Trane's "Government Economics"	51.79

## APPENDIX D:

### ARCHITECTURAL CONFERENCE HARDWARE/SOFTWARE SURVEY

Please take a few minutes to complete the following survey regarding your present and anticipated use of computer hardware and software. The survey is organized into several sections with general and specialized applications questions. Most questions will ask you to respond by placing an Xs in the spaces provided. Where these spaces are followed by \_\_\_\_\_ (blank lines), please also fill in additional information on the response.

The Corps lacks good information on computer usage. We would like as close to a 100% response on the survey as possible. THIS INFORMATION IS IMPORTANT FOR THE CORPS (and will only be used by the Corps). Your answers will help guide USACE policy on hardware and software for our disciplines.

#### SECTION A. IDENTIFICATION

1. NAME (optional) \_\_\_\_\_
2. OFFICE SYMBOL \_\_\_\_\_
3. TEL. NO. (optional) Comm (    ) \_\_\_\_\_
4. POSITION TITLE \_\_\_\_\_
5. Percent of work: MILITARY \_\_\_\_\_% CIVIL \_\_\_\_\_%

#### SECTION B. GENERAL

6. Place an X in the spaces for all computer types for which you HAVE ACCESS at work:

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> CDC Cybernet                 | <input type="checkbox"/> IBM PC or Compatible     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other Mainframe _____        | <input type="checkbox"/> Apollo                   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> District Harris              | <input type="checkbox"/> Sun                      |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other Minicomputer _____     | <input type="checkbox"/> Other 32 bit Workstation |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Division Honeywell           | _____   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> CADD Workstation (Mfr) _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> APPLE (Model) _____      |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other(s) _____               | <input type="checkbox"/> NONE                     |

7. Place an X in the spaces of all computers you USE at work at least occasionally:

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> CDC Cybernet                 | <input type="checkbox"/> IBM PC or Compatible     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other Mainframe _____        | <input type="checkbox"/> Apollo                   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> District Harris              | <input type="checkbox"/> Sun                      |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other Minicomputer _____     | <input type="checkbox"/> Other 32 bit Workstation |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Division Honeywell           | _____   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> CADD Workstation (Mfr) _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> APPLE (Model) _____      |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other(s) _____               | <input type="checkbox"/> NONE                     |

8. Place an X in the spaces of all computers you WILL HAVE ACCESS TO by the END OF FY88:

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> CDC Cybernet                | <input type="checkbox"/> IBM PC or Compatible     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other Mainframe_____        | <input type="checkbox"/> Apollo                   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> District Harris             | <input type="checkbox"/> Sun                      |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other Minicomputer_____     | <input type="checkbox"/> Other 32 bit Workstation |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Division Honeywell          | _____   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> CADD Workstation (Mfr)_____ | <input type="checkbox"/> APPLE (Model)_____       |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other(s)_____               | <input type="checkbox"/> NONE                     |

9. Do you have a computer or terminal on your desk? YES NO

If yes, place an X in the spaces applicable for the computer or terminal on your desk:

- ☐ Terminal (Mfr)\_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ Computer (Name: PC, PC/XT, Apple IIE, etc.)\_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ Hard Disk ☐ 10MB ☐ 20MB ☐ 40MB ☐ \_\_\_\_\_ MB
- ☐ Modem \_\_\_\_\_ Baud
- ☐ Printer ☐ On Desk ☐ Remote
- ☐ Daisywheel
- ☐ Dot Matrix
- ☐ Laserprinter

10. Place an X next to the four most important problems IN YOUR OFFICE regarding the use of computer technology:

- ☐ Lack of Hardware
- ☐ Lack of Software
- ☐ Lack of Training
- ☐ No time to learn
- ☐ No good applications for computers
- ☐ Personnel resistance to computers
- ☐ Poor support for computers in office
- ☐ Noise level of computers and printers
- ☐ Expense of computers and software
- ☐ Management does not support computer use
- ☐ Lack of phone lines for modems
- ☐ Present hardware inadequate
- ☐ Architects not given ready access to hardware needed
- ☐ Other(s) List \_\_\_\_\_
- \_\_\_\_\_

11. Name the function(s) in your office you would most like to automate:

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

12. Do you own a computer for home use? ☐ NO ☐ YES (Type)\_\_\_\_\_

### SECTION C. COMPUTER USAGE

13. Indicate the three (3) types of training you consider most effective FOR YOU on computer hardware or software.

- ☐ Contracted Training
- ☐ Formal Training By Office Staff
- ☐ Computer Tutorials
- ☐ Manuals
- ☐ Telephone Support from Mfr.
- ☐ On the job training
- ☐ Co-workers
- ☐ Other \_\_\_\_\_

14. Place an X in the spaces for YOUR use(s) of computer hardware and software at work (give name of program(s) where applicable)

- ☐ Wordprocessing \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ Spreadsheets Program(s) \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ Data Base Managers Program(s) \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ Communication Program(s) \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ Energy Analysis \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ CADD for Drawing \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ Scheduling and time management \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ Management Information Systems (required by office)
- ☐ Other(s) \_\_\_\_\_

### SECTION D. ARCHITECTURAL SOFTWARE

15. Place an X in the spaces next to the applications for which YOU have used software in at least a portion of the task (also state the program name when asked):

- ☐ Lighting Design and Analysis (Program(s)) \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ Estimating and Quantity Takeoffs \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ Space Planning/Function layout \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ Energy Analysis \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ Solids Modeling (Program(s)) \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ Structural Analysis \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ Other Applications Program(s) \_\_\_\_\_

16. What task or function do you feel has been MOST successful on a computer? \_\_\_\_\_

Why? \_\_\_\_\_

17. What task or function do you feel has been the LEAST successful on a computer? \_\_\_\_\_

Why? \_\_\_\_\_



18. Place an X in the spaces next to the five attributes of architectural software that carry the most weight regarding your selection of programs:

- ☐ Price
- ☐ Ease of use
- ☐ Quality of manuals and other documentation
- ☐ Software support from developer
- ☐ Conformance of calculations to results of manual calcs
- ☐ Access to computer code for examination
- ☐ Reputation of developer
- ☐ Graphics input
- ☐ Graphics output
- ☐ Speed of use
- ☐ Advertised features and compliances
- ☐ Previous experience with the program
- ☐ Other \_\_\_\_\_

19. Have you disapproved a program that an AE wanted to use?

☐ NO    ☐ YES    Why? \_\_\_\_\_

20. Place an X in the spaces next to the five most pressing problems you feel the Corps faces in computer use?

- ☐ Users are not consulted before software is developed
- ☐ Too much oversight on software by HQUSACE
- ☐ Not enough oversight on software by HQUSACE
- ☐ Too many programs to choose from
- ☐ Little ability to force AEs to use certain programs
- ☐ Corps labs and Huntsville developing too much useless software
- ☐ CADD systems need improvement in architectural applications
- ☐ Poor interdisciplinary design teamwork
- ☐ Design schedules are too tight to permit learning and using programs
- ☐ Need more money for hardware and software
- ☐ Other \_\_\_\_\_

21. If it were up to you what would the essential ingredients of the Corps hardware and software usage be?

- A. \_\_\_\_\_
- B. \_\_\_\_\_
- C. \_\_\_\_\_
- D. \_\_\_\_\_

## SECTION E. ENERGY ANALYSIS

22. What are your principal duties regarding energy analysis?

- ☐ Perform analyses
- ☐ Review analyses
- ☐ Perform and review
- ☐ None

23. Place an X in the spaces of the five most important features an energy analysis tool should have for you to use it or permit AEs to use it (assume the application is a new air conditioned building of more than 100,000 sq ft floor area):

- ☐ Calculations are accurate compared to some benchmark
- ☐ Input required is limited
- ☐ Building model can be very detailed
- ☐ HVAC systems and equipment models are extensive
- ☐ Lighting systems models are extensive
- ☐ There is good capability to perform daylighting calculations
- ☐ Good capability for passive solar
- ☐ Good capability for active solar
- ☐ Program is easy to learn
- ☐ Program runs on a microcomputer
- ☐ Computation time for an annual run is relatively fast
- ☐ I have or AE has used it before
- ☐ Training for the program is good
- ☐ Support for the program is good
- ☐ Program is public domain
- ☐ Program documentation is good
- ☐ Program uses "screens" for input
- ☐ Program uses menus for input
- ☐ Text editor is easy to use
- ☐ Other \_\_\_\_\_

24. State the percentage of energy analyses conducted by the following disciplines in your office:

- |  |         |
|--|---------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Mechanical engineers    | _____ % |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Electrical engineers    | _____ % |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Architects              | _____ % |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Project managers        | _____ % |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Engineering technicians | _____ % |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____             | _____ % |

25. In your opinion what percentage of energy analyses SHOULD BE performed by the following disciplines in your office?

- |   |         |
|---|---------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Mechanical engineers | _____ % |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Electrical engineers | _____ % |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Architects           | _____ % |

- ☐ Project managers \_\_\_\_\_ %
- ☐ Engineering technicians \_\_\_\_\_ %
- ☐ Other \_\_\_\_\_ %

26. Please list any suggestions you have for improving energy analysis tools and/or criteria:

---



---



---

If you do not personally perform any energy analysis, procede to the next section.

27. How often do you personally perform energy analysis calculations?

- ☐ Less than once a quarter
- ☐ Once a quarter
- ☐ Once a month
- ☐ Once a week
- ☐ Other \_\_\_\_\_

28. Of the energy analyses you perform what percentage are conducted using a computer program?

- ☐ 100%
- ☐ 90%
- ☐ 75%
- ☐ 50%
- ☐ Less than 50%
- ☐ Other \_\_\_\_\_

29. Place an X in the spaces next to all purposes for which you perform a computer or hand calculation energy analysis.

- ☐ To comply with Energy Budget requirements
- ☐ To compare HVAC alternatives' energy consumption and life cycle costs
- ☐ To compare alternate architectural features such as windows and insulation
- ☐ To compare alternate lighting and/or electrical power systems
- ☐ To size HVAC systems and equipment
- ☐ To select orientation and other siting considerations
- ☐ Other \_\_\_\_\_

30. Place an X in the spaces next to the energy analysis tools YOU use at work.

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Hand calculations        | <input type="checkbox"/> DOE 2.1 (Mainframe version) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> BLAST                    | <input type="checkbox"/> DOE 2.1 (PC version)        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Trane TRACE              | <input type="checkbox"/> APEC ESPII                  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> ELITE                    | <input type="checkbox"/> BRUT                        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Carrier OPCOST program   | <input type="checkbox"/> ASEAMII program             |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other BIN programs _____ |  |

SECTION F. ECONOMIC ANALYSES

31. What percentage of in-house design projects in your Section or Branch involve economic analyses (i.e., life cycle cost studies) to help make design selections?

\_\_\_\_\_ %

32. Have you used the Corps Life Cycle Cost In Design (LCCID) economic analysis computer program?

☐ NO    ☐ YES

If YES, place an X in the spaces for the version of LCCID you use

☐ CDC Cybernet

☐ Harris

☐ Micro

☐ Trane's "Government Economics"

33. What percentage of in-house economic analyses are performed using LCCID?

\_\_\_\_\_ %

THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR COMPLETING THIS SURVEY

## APPENDIX E:

### ARCHITECTURAL RESULTS COMPILATION

Hardware/Software Survey Results  
Corps Wide Architectural Conference  
December 1-3, 1987  
Savannah, Georgia

#### SURVEY ANALYSIS

##### Section A. Identification

###### 1. Cross-section of Position Titles:

49	Architect
6	Chief, Architectural Section, etc.
2	Project Manager
2	No response
2	Int. Designer
1	Architectural Technician
1	Chief, Military Branch
1	Chief, Mech./Elec/Arch Team

TOTAL: 64

##### Section B. General

###### 2. Computer use at work:

###### A. Do you use computers at work:

10	No
54	Yes

###### B. Of those that said yes:

###### a) Computer types used at work at least occasionally

47	IBM PC or compatible
-	Compac 386
23	CADD Workstation
-	Intergraph, Autocad, Zenith, IDS
16	District/Division Harris
3	Apple
-	Macintosh, IIC
3	CDC Cybernet
2	Division Honeywell
2	Wang
1	Compaq

- 1 Apollo
- 1 Amdahl
- 1 Zenith Minicomputer
- 1 Bentley
- 1 Summagraphics

b) Computer or terminal on desk:

- 17 Yes
- 37 No

c) Of those that said Yes, computer or terminal types were:

- 4 Zenith
- 4 PC
- 3 IBM AT
- 1 Macintosh Plus
- 1 AMT-XT Plus
- 1 Xerox
- 1 Tandy
- 1 Commodore 64
- 1 9220 terminal

3. Most important problems regarding use of computer technology in office:

- 37 Lack of Training
- 32 No time to learn
- 28 Lack of Hardware
- 20 Lack of Software
- 13 Present hardware inadequate
- 12 Architects not given ready access to hardware needed
- 11 Personnel resistance to computers
- 11 Management does not support computer use
- 10 Poor support for computers in office
- 9 Expense of computers and software
- 7 No good applications for computers
- 3 Lack of phone lines for modems
- 2 Noise level of computers and printers

Other(s):

- Plotting technology is poor...maintaining pens, etc. is difficult.
- Lack of plotters.
- Inconsistency in election of software standards within the office...everyone uses what they want to use...makes transfer of information difficult.
- Procurement process is too long...takes too long to get hardware or software once the request leaves the office...especially true with software updates.
- Too few machines.
- Engineering management tries to force architects to use software for which they have not developed an application at the present time.
- No CADD system available.
- In process of changing to a different system.
- Management has selected a poor system...it is slow and inflexible.
- Lack of standardization support at HQUSACE.
- Not enough in-house design to support computers (time and resources available).

- Personnel that were trained are uninspired and/or incompetent.
- Lack of uninterruptable power source for PC's.
- We are encouraged to use computer technology but are given virtually no instruction or time to enable us to become familiar with it.

4. The function(s) in your office you would most like to automate:

- drafting
- design/layout (concept development, floor area calcs.)
- working drawings and details
- standard or repetitive building plans
- all contract documents
- cost estimating/quantity takeoffs
- reference materials
- criteria (ETLs, ARs, TMs, other regulation codes and stds)
- specifications and spec. editing
- review comment generation
- management (project schedules and funding, contract, team)
- general correspondence and job files
- labor accounting
- ARMS
- word processing for reports
- interior design functions

#### Section C. Computer Usage

5. Types of training considered most effective by the user:

- 44 On the job training
- 35 Co-workers
- 30 Contracted Training
- 28 Computer Tutorials
- 17 Formal Training By Office Staff
- 16 Manuals
- 5 Telephone Support from Mfr.
- Other(s):
  - Third party books.
  - Self-paced and motivated very effective.
  - Extended deadline on project to allow extra time to learn on the job.

6. Types of general software currently in use in office:

- 40 Wordprocessing
  - Multimate, Microsoft Word, Wordstar, Wordperfect, Uniplex/horizon, Wang, Smart, Wordmarc, Sidekick, Volkswriter, Xerox Viewport, Turbo Lighting, Enable
- 39 CADD for Drawing
  - Autocad, Summagraphics, Bentley Microstation, Prodesign, Intergraph ARCH package, IGDS and ADPD, CadPlus by Cadpack, CERLCAD, Pacemaker
- 30 Spreadsheets Program(s)
  - Smart, Symphony, Enable, Lotus 123, Dbase III, Multiplan, Supercalc4

- 17 Data Base Managers Program(s)
  - Dbase III, Enable
- 11 Scheduling and time management
  - Sidekick, Timeline, Metro, Harvard Project Manager, Dbase III, Lotus
- 7 Communication Program(s)
  - Smartcom, Crosstalk, Paxmail, Procomm
- 3 Management Information Systems (required by office)
  - Other(s):
    - Email/ontyme
    - Specifications
    - Turbo Pascal
    - ARMS
    - PC Outline

#### Section D. Architectural Software

7. Types of architectural software currently in use in office:

- 28 No response/none used
- 19 Space Planning/function layout
  - ACAD, Intergraph ADPD and SPFM, Prodesign, Autocad, CERLCAD
- 5 Estimating and Quantity Takeoffs
  - Intergraph ARCH package, CACES
- 3 Energy Analysis
  - Solarsoft...SOLPAS, SOLFEAS, DAYLIT
- 2 Solids Modeling (Program(s))
  - Intergraph
- 2 Structural Analysis
- 1 Lighting Design and Analysis (Program(s))
  - Other(s):
    - 1391 Processor
    - BLAST
    - IGDS
    - Drafting with Autocad
    - Comment writing with Enable
    - Specification writing
    - Window details with Pella program

8. What task or function do you feel has been MOST successful on a computer:

- drafting, CADD (Autocad, floor plans, production drawings, elevations, building layout) drawing elements tend to be repetitive; standardization graphics quality and efficiency; increase productivity while maintaining ability to explore; producing construction drawings has been a means of training and instruction; although its just beginning, CADD is showing the potential for linking all design disciplines from planning to construction; efficiency and interdisciplinary controls; floor plans need only be drawn once for the architectural sheets - and then used as a base by the other disciplines. Plus as jobs are developed, the details can be put into a library and used on future jobs (again saving time) with little or no modifying; that is the least that should be done on a computer; the ease at which changes can be made; changes to plan are easily made - accurate plans are available for other disciplines



- space planning/function layout (drafting) ease of developing multiple solutions and ease of change
- concept development speed
- making changes to computerized construction documents changes were quicker on all sheets
- database connected reports to architectural plans
- CADDKEY by Summagraphics easy to learn; useful for repetition of details
- word processing most exploited; most training; accessible to most people for some use with minimal training and new skills required; we do our own reports - has cut down delays and problems with word processing center; ease; frees me from dependence on a secretary who can't type; greatest quantity with least amount of training; at desk composing without secretary; universal applications
- spreadsheets, these are what we have been able to use for any length of time; retrieval and storage of data; universal applications
- Lotus spreadsheets with word processing interfaces; excellent management tool for upward reporting
- simple database storage and manipulation; relatively easy to set up and use
- narrative review comments quicker
- A/E fee estimates it is the only task I do on computer
- 1391 processor

9. What task or function do you feel has been the LEAST successful on a computer:

- design (architectural design) the system was too small - no reference; no access to computer hardware for individual use; too many decisions require simultaneous input; easier to draw on table; poor choices of software; it will take some time for thinking processes to shift to computer software design (from traditional methods). Software dictates more "upfront" thinking when laying out plan; need for familiarity with system before you can design with it; programs are set up for drafting and are too restrictive and time consuming for design techniques
- drafting (Autocad, CADD, working drawings) unique drawings not efficient on computer; too slow - in the future, development of more developed software and hardware; training time is longer than expected. Plotters take too long. Power outages; some staff is uninspired/incompetent; none available
- comment writing with Enable software is too cumbersome - not simple enough for quick learning
- estimating lack of applications knowledge in estimating
- specifications redundancy - if we edit on our own computer (big no-no) we're taking work away from wordprocessing people;
- 3B2 with terminals - the system we have just does not work - will have very few users
- drawings/specifications coordination learning curve/data entry
- word processing resistance of people to learn to type
- trying to train people with manufacturer's stock training programs
- CACES is applied to small and large projects alike
- scheduling - don't think we have the right system yet
- data base - difficult to work with; too involved for my needs

10. Attributes of architectural software that carry the most weight regarding your selection of programs:

- 39 Ease of use
- 32 Graphics output
- 29 Speed of use
- 25 Graphics input
- 24 Quality of manuals and other doc.

- 19 Software support from developer
- 13 Price
- 9 Previous experience with the program
- 5 Reputation of developer
- 2 Access to computer code for examination
- 0 Conformance of calculations to results of manual calcs
- 0 Advertised features and compliances
- Other(s):
  - Ease of customizing
  - Convenience of use
  - Hardware use (easy or not)
  - Degree to which software supports traditional design tasks in a comprehensible order
  - Quality of software
  - Training available

11. Most pressing problems Corps faces in computer use:

- 36 Design schedules are too tight to permit learning and using programs
- 25 Need more money for hardware and software
- 24 Poor interdisciplinary design teamwork
- 14 CADD systems need improvement in architectural applications
- 12 Users are not consulted before software is developed
- 10 Corps labs and Huntsville developing too much useless software
- 7 Too many programs to choose from
- 7 Little ability to force AEs to use certain programs
- 6 Not enough oversight on software by HQUSACE
- 3 Too much oversight on software by HQUSACE
- Other(s):
  - All Corps offices should use the same system...no standardization between divisions and districts
  - Misdirection in purchase and procurement of hardware and software...management buys mainframes, users want PCs.
  - Upper management
  - Management does not support Corps wide CADD buy
  - Management is not prepared to plan the design process/office organization and arrangements to take advantage of computer products.
  - Need more hardware and software
  - Getting hardware to work all the time
  - Not enough information available as to what software is available...and its applicability to work being done
  - Training is inadequate
  - No CADD system is available
  - Development of AE computer support and funding at the office...cannot rely on local ADP department
  - Hardware and software not state-of-the-art yet
  - Not enough in-house work to support CADD
  - Design sections do not share "lessons learned" information.

12. If it were up to you what would the essential ingredients of the Corps hardware and software usage be?

- a. Corps should buy same system for all offices

- b. Document storage should be standardized and efficient
  - c. As-built drawings should be required
- a. Intergraph CADD for concept development and working drawings
  - b. Complete utilization by other expertise
  - c. Solid modeling program Intergraph 32 to aid in concept development
- a. Network AE Districts, Divisions, and Headquarters for drawings, specifications, estimates, communication and other information
- a. Compatibility
  - b. Ease of use
  - c. Application throughout design/construction process
  - d. Ease of access
- a. More workstations - one for everyone who uses one regularly
  - b. Consistency
- a. (CADD) Hardware - machines, printers, storage
  - b. (CADD) Corps wide policies
  - c. (MANG) Hardware/software. No hardware - we went though 5 word processing programs this year
  - d. (MANG) Education to per computer generations
- a. User friendly software
- a. Experienced districts helping the inexperienced get going
  - b. Large library of building details and data to interchange Corps-wide
- a. Insure training is available
  - b. Ease of use
  - c. Non-proprietary, i.e. expandable
- a. More purely "design" software, not drafting
- a. Color graphics workstation, minimum one per three employees
  - b. Architectural software that supports typical contract document production
  - c. Software that supports bubble diagrams/adjacency matrices
- a. Preconcept - both to develop project and as a presentation vehicle - including 3D modeling
  - b. Project drawings with as-built changes/corrections added when appropriate
- a. Sheets or cells of standard details should be developed on the CADD for Corps wide use. The drawings should include door details, CMU details, caulking details, roof details, handrails, windows, metal roofing. (Would be very interested in developing these drawings. Perhaps Huntsville Division would be the proper location for distribution of this data since they already manage standard designs.)
- a. Training
  - b. Dedicated personnel to CADD
  - c. Realization of the time required to "get up to speed"

- d. Realize difference in personnel classification between "engineering/architectural CADD production" and "word processing"
- a. PC's with a tie to the Harris
- b. Something more user friendly than autocad 2.52 for drafting
- c. Better plotters than HP. Its good but pens are a problem and plots take so long
- a. Ease of use
- b. Adequate training of enough people
- c. Enough terminals to handle work load
- a. Training
- b. Sharing of details so that we don't reinvent the wheel
- a. User friendly to accomplish task
- b. Graphics ability
- a. Sufficient hardware/software
- b. Ease of use - user friendly
- c. Flexible - easy to adapt or modify to individual situation
- a. User friendly
- b. Readily available
- c. Easily procured
- d. Easily modified by the user without ADP programmer support
- a. Development of good training programs
- b. Allow time for development of skills without pressure on performance and schedules
- c. Development of standard methods of operation
- d. Development of standard details with proper filing system
- a. Provide information on software to possible users
- a. User friendly
- a. We are gaining - but visualize the day when everything is geared to the design drawings which is the first and last outbreak of our thinking) ie, specifications and estimates. Also, since engineering for facilities is an applied science, you would not need a structural engineer telling you it can't be done. The computer will tell you your options and you get to make a decision - something architects are trained to do.
- a. Provides additional hardware for technical groups primarily funding for PC's and printers

#### Section E. Energy Analysis

13. Principal duties regarding energy analysis:

- 41 None
- 14 No response

- 4 Review analyses
- 3 Perform analyses
- 1 Perform and review

14. Place an X in the spaces of the five most important features an energy analysis tool should have for you to use it or permit AEs to use it (assume the application is a new air conditioned building of more than 100,000 sq. ft. floor area):

- 14 Program runs on a microcomputer
- 12 Good capability for passive solar
- 12 Program is easy to learn
- 9 Calculations are accurate compared to some benchmark
- 8 There is good capability to perform daylighting calcs
- 7 Computation time for an annual run is relatively fast
- 6 Input required is limited
- 6 Building model can be very detailed
- 5 HVAC systems and equipment models are extensive
- 5 Training for the program is good
- 5 Program documentation is good
- 4 Support for the program is good
- 4 Program is public domain
- 3 Program uses menus for input
- 3 Text editor is easy to use
- 3 Lighting systems models are extensive
- 2 I have or AE has used it before
- 2 Program uses "screens" for input
- 1 Good capability for active solar

Other(s):

- BLAST is far too academic and detailed for the HVAC equipment available. For example, after complicated BLAST procedures, an eight ton unit is selected. This is when a Trane Program would do the same job faster and cheaper.

15. State the percentage of energy analyses conducted by the following disciplines in your office:

	0-5	10-15	20-25	30-35	40-45	50-55	60-65	70-75	80-85	90-95	100%
Mech. Engineers				1	1	3	2	2	1	7	20
Elec. Engineers	1		4	1		3					2
Architects	1	8			2	1					
Project Mgrs		1									
Engineering Tech			1			1					
Energy Anal. Section											1

16. State what percentage of energy analyses SHOULD BE conducted by the following disciplines in your office:

	0-5	10-15	20-25	30-35	40-45	50-55	60-65	70-75	80-85	90-95	100%
Mech. Engineers		1		2	3	5	2	5	2	4	9
Elec. Engineers	2	4	5	2	1	1	2			1	1
Architects		6	10	2	2	2					2
Project Mgrs	1	1									
Engineering Tech.			3			1					
Energy Anal. Section											1

17. Please list any suggestions you have for improving energy analysis tools and/or criteria:

- Use by architects should be quick and simple for design considerations only. Accurate analyses should be done by mechanical engineers.
- Architects should perform
- Classes
- Simplify
- Develop interactive real-time DOS-based software that takes IGDS file (interface to Bentley) and performs graphic input/output analysis of heating, cooling, and ventilation loads, etc.
- There is a need for better lighting/daylighting programs showing the effect of these elements on the HVAC system.

18. Place an X in the spaces next to all purposes for which you perform a computer or hand calculation energy analysis.

- 7 To compare alternate architectural features such as windows and insulation
- 6 To select orientation and other siting considerations
- 3 To compare alternate lighting and/or electrical power systems
- 3 To size HVAC systems and equipment
- 2 To comply with Energy Budget requirements
- 2 To compare HVAC alternatives' energy consumption and life cycle costs
- Other(s):
- To meet minimum insulation requirements

19. Place an X in the spaces next to the energy analysis tools you use at work.

- 7 Hand calculations
- 1 BLAST
- 1 Solarsoft

#### Section F. Economic Analyses

20. Does in-house design process include economic analysis?

- 25 No response
- 21 Yes
- 18 No

21. Have you used the Corps Life Cycle Cost In Design (LCCID) economic analysis computer program?

- 39 No
- 16 No response
- 4 Yes (Harris, Micro)

22. Are in-house economic analyses performed using LCCID?

- 35 No response
- 9 Question mark
- 8 No
- 5 Yes
- 2 Don't know

## APPENDIX F:

### ARCHITECTURAL RESPONDENT IDENTIFICATION

Corps Wide Architectural Conference Attendees  
December 1-3, 1987  
Savannah, Georgia

Office Symbol		Surveys Returned
AFRCE ATEN DEH	HQ. TRADOC Ft. Benning Ft. Stewart	1
FCEN(FORSCOM) HQDA	Ft. McPherson,	
CEEC CEEC-OVEST	HQUSACE HQUSACE-OVEST	
CECER CECRL	Const. Eng. Res. Lab. Cold Regions Res. & Eng. Lab	

Office Symbol		Division/ District	Surveys Returned
CEEUD	Europe Division	EUD	
CEHND	Huntsville Division	HND	3
CELMD	Lower Miss. Valley Div.	LMK LMN	1 1
CEMRD	Missouri River Division	MRD MRK MRO	2 2 2
CENED	New England Division	NED	2
CENAD	North Atlantic Division	NAB NAO	3 2
CENCD	North Central Division	NCS	1

Office Symbol		Division/ District	Surveys Returned
CENPD	North Pacific Division	NPD	3
		NPP	1
		NPS	2
		NPW	1
CEORD	Ohio River Division	ORD	1
		ORL	5
CEPOD	Pacific Ocean Division	POD	1
		POF	1
		POJ	1
CESAD	South Atlantic Division	SAC	1
		SAJ	1
		SAM	1
		SAI	1
		SAS	4
		SAW	2
CESPD	South Pacific Division	SPD	1
		SPL	1
		SPK	9
CESWD	Southwestern Division	SWF	1
		SWL	1
		SWT	1
		TOTAL:	<u>58</u>

NOTE: 6 attendees did not give their name or office symbol on the completed survey.



USACERL DISTRIBUTION

Chief of Engineers

ATTN: CEIM-SL (2)

ATTN: CEMP-C

ATTN: CERD-L

ATTN: CEMP-EE

ATTN: CEMP-EA

ATTN: CEMP-ES

ATTN: CESP-K-ED-DA

ATTN: CESWT-EC-DB

ATTN: CEWES-IM-D (2)

ATTN: DTIC

12  
01/90